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The Global Divide on Homosexuality
Greater Acceptance in More Secular and Affluent Countries

As the United States and other countries grapple with the issue of same-sex marriage, a new Pew Research Center survey finds huge variance by region on the broader question of whether homosexuality should be accepted or rejected by society.

The survey of publics in 39 countries finds broad acceptance of homosexuality in North America, the European Union, and much of Latin America, but equally widespread rejection in predominantly Muslim nations and in Africa, as well as in parts of Asia and in Russia. Opinion about the acceptability of homosexuality is divided in Israel, Poland and Bolivia.

Attitudes about homosexuality have been fairly stable in recent years, except in South Korea, the United States and Canada, where the percentage saying homosexuality should be accepted by society has grown by at least ten percentage points since 2007. These are among the key findings of a new survey by the Pew Research Center conducted in 39 countries among 37,653 respondents from March 2 to May 1, 2013.¹

The survey also finds that acceptance of homosexuality is particularly widespread in countries where religion is less central in

---

¹ Results for India are not reported due to concerns about the survey’s administration in the field.
people’s lives. These are also among the richest countries in the world. In contrast, in poorer countries with high levels of religiosity, few believe homosexuality should be accepted by society.

Age is also a factor in several countries, with younger respondents offering far more tolerant views than older ones. And while gender differences are not prevalent, in those countries where they are, women are consistently more accepting of homosexuality than men.

Where Homosexuality Is Most Accepted

The view that homosexuality should be accepted by society is prevalent in most of the European Union countries surveyed. About three-quarters or more in Spain (88%), Germany (87%), the Czech Republic (80%), France (77%), Britain (76%), and Italy (74%) share this view, as do more than half in Greece (53%). Poland is the only EU country surveyed where views are mixed; 42% say homosexuality should be accepted by society and 46% believe it should be rejected.

Canadians, who already expressed tolerant views in 2007, are now even more likely to say homosexuality should be accepted by society; 80% say this, compared with 70% six years ago. Views are not as positive in the U.S., where a smaller majority (60%) believes homosexuality should be accepted. But Americans are far more tolerant today than they were in 2007, when 49% said homosexuality should be accepted by society and 41% said it should be rejected.

Opinions about homosexuality are also positive in parts of Latin America. In Argentina, the first country in the region to legalize gay marriage in 2010, about three-quarters (74%)
say homosexuality should be accepted, as do clear majorities in Chile (68%), Mexico (61%) and Brazil (60%); about half of Venezuelans (51%) also express acceptance. In contrast, 62% of Salvadorans say homosexuality should be rejected by society, as do nearly half in Bolivia (49%).

In the Asia/Pacific region, where views of homosexuality are mostly negative, more than seven-in-ten in Australia (79%) and the Philippines (73%) say homosexuality should be accepted by society; 54% in Japan agree.

Where Homosexuality Is Rejected

Publics in Africa and in predominantly Muslim countries remain among the least accepting of homosexuality. In sub-Saharan Africa, at least nine-in-ten in Nigeria (98%), Senegal (96%), Ghana (96%), Uganda (96%) and Kenya (90%) believe homosexuality should not be accepted by society. Even in South Africa where, unlike in many other African countries, homosexual acts are legal and discrimination based on sexual orientation is unconstitutional, 61% say homosexuality should not be accepted by society, while just 32% say it should be accepted.

Overwhelming majorities in the predominantly Muslim countries surveyed also say homosexuality should be rejected, including 97% in Jordan, 95% in Egypt, 94% in Tunisia, 93% in the Palestinian territories, 93% in Indonesia, 87% in Pakistan, 86% in Malaysia, 80% in Lebanon and 78% in Turkey.

Elsewhere, majorities in South Korea (59%) and China (57%) also say homosexuality should not be accepted by society; 39% and 21%, respectively, say it should be accepted. South Korean views, while still negative, have shifted considerably since 2007, when 77% said homosexuality should be rejected and 18% said it should be accepted by society.

Religiosity and Views of Homosexuality

There is a strong relationship between a country’s religiosity and opinions about homosexuality.2 There is far less acceptance of homosexuality in countries where religion is central to people’s lives – measured by whether they consider religion to be very important,

---

2 Religiosity is measured using a three-item index ranging from 0-3, with "3" representing the most religious position. Respondents were coded as "1" if they believe faith in God is necessary for morality; "1" if they say religion is very important in their lives; and "1" if they pray at least once a day. The mean score for each country is used in this analysis.
whether they believe it is necessary to believe in God in order to be moral, and whether they pray at least once a day.

There are some notable exceptions, however. For example, Russia and China receive low scores on the religiosity scale, which would suggest higher levels of tolerance for homosexuality. Yet, just 16% of Russians and 21% of Chinese say homosexuality should be accepted by society. Conversely, Brazilians and Filipinos are considerably more tolerant of homosexuality than their countries’ relatively high levels of religiosity would suggest.

In Israel, where views of homosexuality are mixed, secular Jews are more than twice as likely as those who describe themselves as traditional, religious or ultra-Orthodox to say homosexuality should be accepted (61% vs. 26%); just 2% of Israeli Muslims share this view.
Gender and Age and Views of Homosexuality

In most of the countries surveyed, views of homosexuality do not differ significantly between men and women. But in the countries where there is a gender gap, women are considerably more likely than men to say homosexuality should be accepted by society.

In Japan, Venezuela and Greece, where about six-in-ten women say homosexuality should be accepted (61% in Japan and 59% in Venezuela and Greece), fewer than half of men share this view (47%, 44% and 47%, respectively). About half of women in Israel (48%) express positive views of homosexuality, compared with just 31% of men. And, while majorities of women and men in Britain, Chile, France and the U.S. say homosexuality should be accepted by society, women are more likely than men to offer this view by at least ten percentage points.

In many countries, views of homosexuality also vary across age groups, with younger respondents consistently more likely than older ones to say homosexuality should be accepted by society. Age differences are particularly evident in South Korea, Japan, and Brazil, where those younger than 30 are more accepting than those ages 30-49 who, in turn, are more accepting than those ages 50 and older.

For example, in Japan, 83% of those younger than 30 say homosexuality should be accepted, compared with 71% of 30-49 year-olds and just 39% of those 50 and older. Similarly, 71% of South Koreans in the younger age group offer positive views of homosexuality, but just about half of 30-49 year-olds (48%) and 16% of those 50 or older do. In Brazil, about three-quarters of those younger than 30 (74%) say homosexuality should be accepted, compared with 60% of those in the middle category and 46% of those 50 or older.

In the EU, solid majorities across age groups in Britain, France, Germany, Spain, Italy and the Czech Republic express positive views of homosexuality, although Italians and Czechs ages 50 and older are considerably less likely than younger people in these countries to say homosexuality should be accepted. At least eight-in-ten Italians younger than 30 (86%) and ages 30-49 (80%) share this view, compared with 67% of those ages 50 and older. In the Czech
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Only countries with a double-digit gender gap shown.
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Republic, 84% of those ages 18-29 and 87% of those 30-49 say homosexuality should be accepted, while 72% of those ages 50 and older agree.

In Greece, where acceptance of homosexuality is not as prevalent as in most of the EU countries surveyed, majorities of 18-29 year-olds (66%) and 30-49 year-olds (62%) say homosexuality should be accepted by society; far fewer Greeks ages 50 and older (40%) share this view.

People ages 50 and older in the U.S., Canada, Argentina, Bolivia and Chile are also less likely than those in the two younger age groups to say homosexuality should be accepted by society, although at least half of those 50 and older in all but Bolivia are accepting, including 75% in Canada. In the U.S., 70% of those ages 18-29 and 64% of those ages 30-49 are accepting of homosexuality, compared with about half of Americans ages 50 and older (52%). In Bolivia, however, 53% of 18-29 year-olds and 43% of 30-49 year-olds say homosexuality should be accepted, but just 27% of those in the older group share this view.

Mexicans and Chinese ages 18-29 are more likely than those in each of the other two age groups to offer positive views of homosexuality, but there is no significant difference between the views of 30-49 year-olds and those 50 or older. And in Russia, El Salvador and Venezuela, those younger than 30 are more tolerant of homosexuality than are those ages 50 and older, while the views of those ages 30-49 do not vary considerably from those in the youngest and oldest groups.

### Age and Views of Homosexuality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>18-29</th>
<th>30-49</th>
<th>50+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>U.S.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Britain</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Rep.</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palest. ter.</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Korea</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. Africa</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Some figures for the Palestinian territories, Australia and Kenya not shown due to small sample sizes.
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Across the predominantly Muslim countries surveyed, as well as in the six sub-Saharan countries, solid majorities across age groups share the view that homosexuality should be rejected by society. In Lebanon, however, there is somewhat more acceptance among younger respondents; 27% of Lebanese younger than 30 say homosexuality should be accepted, compared with 17% of 30-49 year-olds and 10% of those 50 or older.
## Survey Methods

### About the 2013 Spring Pew Global Attitudes Survey

Results for the survey are based on telephone and face-to-face interviews conducted under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. Survey results are based on national samples. For further details on sample designs, see below.

The descriptions below show the margin of sampling error based on all interviews conducted in that country. For results based on the full sample in a given country, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling and other random effects is plus or minus the margin of error. In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample design</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Languages</th>
<th>Fieldwork dates</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Margin of Error</th>
<th>Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Argentina</strong></td>
<td>Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by locality size</td>
<td>Face-to-face adults 18 plus</td>
<td>Spanish</td>
<td>March 6 – March 26, 2013</td>
<td>819</td>
<td>±4.7 percentage points</td>
<td>Adult population (excluding dispersed rural population, or 8.8% of the population)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Australia</strong></td>
<td>Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of landline and cell phone households</td>
<td>Telephone adults 18 plus</td>
<td>English</td>
<td>March 4 – March 18, 2013</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>±4.4 percentage points</td>
<td>Telephone households (roughly 98% of all Australian households)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Country: **Bolivia**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by department and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Spanish
Fieldwork dates: March 12 – April 18, 2013
Sample size: 800
Margin of Error: ±4.5 percentage points
Representative: Adult population (excluding dispersed rural population, or 10% of the population)

Country: **Brazil**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by Brazil’s five regions and size of municipality
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Portuguese
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – April 21, 2013
Sample size: 960
Margin of Error: ±4.1 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Britain**
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of landline and cell phone households
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus
Languages: English
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 27, 2013
Sample size: 1,012
Margin of Error: ±3.3 percentage points
Representative: Telephone households (roughly 98% of all British households)
Country: **Canada**  
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of landline and cell phone-only households  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: English, French  
Fieldwork dates: March 5 – March 18, 2013  
Sample size: 701  
Margin of Error: ±3.7 percentage points  
Representative: Telephone households (excluding residents of Yukon, Nunavut, and Northwest Territories; sample represents roughly 98% of all Canadian households)

Country: **Chile**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urbanity  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Spanish  
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 19, 2013  
Sample size: 800  
Margin of Error: ±5.2 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population (excluding Chiloe and other islands, or 3% of the population)

Country: **China**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by China’s three regional-economic zones and urbanity. Twelve cities, 12 towns and 12 villages were sampled covering central, east, and west China.  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Chinese (Mandarin, Hebei, Shandong, Yunnan, Chongqing, Guangdong, Hubei, Henan, Hunan, Jiangsu, Gandu, Sichuan, Shaanxi, Anhui, Shanghai, Jilin, Jiangxi, Zhejiang, and Beijing dialects)  
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – April 6, 2013  
Sample size: 3,226  
Margin of Error: ±3.5 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population (excluding Tibet, Xinjiang, Hong Kong and Macau, or roughly 2% of the population). Disproportionately urban. The data were weighted to reflect the actual urbanity distribution in China.  
Note: The results cited are from Horizonkey’s self-sponsored survey.
Country: **Czech Republic**  
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of adults who own a cell phone  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: Czech  
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 14, 2013  
Sample size: 700  
Margin of Error: ±3.7 percentage points  
Representative: Adults who own a cell phone (roughly 91% of adults age 18 and older)

Country: **Egypt**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by governorates and urbanity  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Arabic  
Fieldwork dates: March 3 – March 23, 2013  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of Error: ±4.3 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population (excluding Frontier governorates, or about 2% of the population)

Country: **El Salvador**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by department and urbanity  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Spanish  
Fieldwork dates: April 18 – May 1, 2013  
Sample size: 792  
Margin of Error: ±5.3 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population
Country: **France**  
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RDD) sample of landline and cell phone-only households with quotas for gender, age and occupation and stratified by region and urbanity  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: French  
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 16, 2013  
Sample size: 1,004  
Margin of Error: ±3.6 percentage points  
Representative: Telephone households (roughly 99% of all French households)

Country: **Germany**  
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RL(2)D) probability sample of landline and cell phone households  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: German  
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 18, 2013  
Sample size: 1,025  
Margin of Error: ±4.1 percentage points  
Representative: Telephone households (roughly 99% of all German households)

Country: **Ghana**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and settlement size  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Akan (Twi), English, Dagbani, Ewe  
Fieldwork dates: March 20 – April 3, 2013  
Sample size: 799  
Margin of Error: ±4.7 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Greece**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urbanity  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Greek  
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 27, 2013  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of Error: ±3.7 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population (excluding the islands in the Aegean and Ionian Seas, or roughly 6% of the population)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Sample design</th>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Languages</th>
<th>Fieldwork dates</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Margin of Error</th>
<th>Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indonesia</strong></td>
<td>Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by province and urbanity</td>
<td>Face-to-face adults 18 plus</td>
<td>Bahasa Indonesian</td>
<td>March 9 – March 27, 2013</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>±4.0 percentage points</td>
<td>Adult population (excluding Papua and remote areas or provinces with small populations, or 12% of the population)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Israel</strong></td>
<td>Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by Israel’s six districts, urbanity, and socioeconomic status, with an oversample of Arabs</td>
<td>Face-to-face adults 18 plus</td>
<td>Hebrew, Arabic</td>
<td>March 29 – April 12, 2013</td>
<td>922 (504 Jews, 406 Arabs, 12 others)</td>
<td>±4.6 percentage points</td>
<td>Adult population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Italy</strong></td>
<td>Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by four regions and urbanity</td>
<td>Face-to-face adults 18 plus</td>
<td>Italian</td>
<td>March 4 – March 19, 2013</td>
<td>1,105</td>
<td>±4.1 percentage points</td>
<td>Adult population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Japan</strong></td>
<td>Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of landline households stratified by region and population size</td>
<td>Telephone adults 18 plus</td>
<td>Japanese</td>
<td>March 5 – April 2, 2013</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>±4.3 percentage points</td>
<td>Landline households (roughly 86% of all Japanese households)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Country: **Jordan**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by Jordan’s 12 governorates and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Arabic
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 23, 2013
Sample size: 1,000
Margin of Error: ±4.5 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Kenya**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by province and settlement size
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Kiswahili, English
Fieldwork dates: March 13 – March 30, 2013
Sample size: 798
Margin of Error: ±4.3 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Lebanon**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by Lebanon’s seven regions and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Arabic
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 22, 2013
Sample size: 1,000
Margin of Error: ±4.0 percentage points
Representative: Adult population (excluding a small area in Beirut controlled by a militia group and a few villages in the south of Lebanon, which border Israel and are inaccessible to outsiders, or about 2% of the population)
Country: **Malaysia**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by state and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Malay, Mandarin Chinese, English
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – April 3, 2013
Sample size: 822
Margin of Error: ±4.3 percentage points
Representative: Adult population (excluding difficult to access areas in Sabah and Sarawak, or about 7% of the population)

Country: **Mexico**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Spanish
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 17, 2013
Sample size: 1,000
Margin of Error: ±4.1 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Nigeria**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: English, Hausa, Yoruba, Igbo
Fieldwork dates: March 6 – April 4, 2013
Sample size: 1,031
Margin of Error: ±4.0 percentage points
Representative: Adult population (excluding Borno, Yobe and some areas in Taraba, or about 5% of the population)
Country: **Pakistan**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by province and urbanity  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Urdu, Pashto, Punjabi, Saraiki, Sindhi  
Fieldwork dates: March 11 – March 31, 2013  
Sample size: 1,201  
Margin of Error: ±4.3 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population (excluding the Federally Administered Tribal Areas, Gilgit-Baltistan, Azad Jammu and Kashmir for security reasons as well as areas of instability in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa [formerly the North-West Frontier Province] and Baluchistan, or roughly 18% of the population). Disproportionately urban. The data were weighted to reflect the actual urbanity distribution in Pakistan.

Country: **Palestinian territories**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urban/rural/refugee camp population  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Arabic  
Fieldwork dates: March 29 – April 7, 2013  
Sample size: 810  
Margin of Error: ±4.4 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population (excluding Bedouins who regularly change residence and some communities near Israeli settlements where military restrictions make access difficult, or roughly 5% of the population)

Country: **Philippines**  
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urbanity  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Tagalog, Cebuano, Ilonggo, Ilocano, Bicolano  
Fieldwork dates: March 10 – April 3, 2013  
Sample size: 804  
Margin of Error: ±4.5 percentage points  
Representative: Adult population
Country: **Poland**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by Poland’s 16 provinces and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Polish
Fieldwork dates: March 2 – March 24, 2013
Sample size: 800
Margin of Error: ±3.9 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Russia**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by Russia’s eight regions plus Moscow and St. Petersburg and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Russian
Fieldwork dates: March 5 – March 21, 2013
Sample size: 996
Margin of Error: ±3.6 percentage points
Representative: Adult population (excluding High North regions, the Chechen Republic, and the Ingush Republic, or about 3% of the population)

Country: **Senegal**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Wolof, French
Fieldwork dates: March 6 – March 30, 2013
Sample size: 800
Margin of Error: ±4.1 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: **South Africa**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by metropolitan area, province and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: English, Zulu, Xhosa, South Sotho, Afrikaans
Fieldwork dates: March 18 – April 12, 2013
Sample size: 815
Margin of Error: ±4.1 percentage points
Representative: Adult population
Country: South Korea
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of adults who own a cell phone
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus
Languages: Korean
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 18, 2013
Sample size: 809
Margin of Error: ±3.7 percentage points
Representative: Adults who own a cell phone (roughly 96% of adults age 18 and older)

Country: Spain
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of landline and cell phone-only households stratified by region
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus
Languages: Spanish/Castilian
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 15, 2013
Sample size: 1,000
Margin of Error: ±3.1 percentage points
Representative: Telephone households (roughly 97% of Spanish households)

Country: Tunisia
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by governorate and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Tunisian Arabic
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 19, 2013
Sample size: 1,000
Margin of Error: ±4.0 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: Turkey
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by the 26 regions (based on geographical location and level of development (NUTS 2)) and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Turkish
Fieldwork dates: March 5 – March 24, 2013
Sample size: 1,000
Margin of Error: ±7.7 percentage points
Representative: Adult population
Country: **Uganda**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and urbanity
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Luganda, English, Runyankole/Rukiga, Luo, Runyoro/Rutoro, Ateso, Lugbara
Fieldwork dates: March 15 – March 29, 2013
Sample size: 800
Margin of Error: ±4.3 percentage points
Representative: Adult population

Country: **United States**
Sample design: Random Digit Dial (RDD) probability sample of landline and cell phone households stratified by county
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus
Languages: English, Spanish
Fieldwork dates: March 4 – March 18, 2013
Sample size: 1,002
Margin of Error: ±3.5 percentage points
Representative: Telephone households with English or Spanish speakers (roughly 97% of U.S. households)

Country: **Venezuela**
Sample design: Multi-stage cluster sample stratified by region and parish size
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus
Languages: Spanish
Fieldwork dates: March 15 – April 27, 2013
Sample size: 1,000
Margin of Error: ±3.5 percentage points
Representative: Adult population (excluding remote areas, or about 4% of population)
Methodological notes:

- Survey results are based on national samples. For further details on sample designs, see Survey Methods section.

- Due to rounding, percentages may not total 100%. The topline “total” columns show 100%, because they are based on unrounded numbers.

- Since 2007, the Global Attitudes Project has used an automated process to generate toplines. As a result, numbers may differ slightly from those published prior to 2007.

- Spring, 2011 survey in Pakistan was fielded before the death of Osama bin Laden (April 10 – April 26), while the Late Spring, 2011 survey was conducted afterwards (May 8 – May 15).

- For some countries, trends for certain years are omitted due to differences in sample design or population coverage. Omitted trends often reflect less representative samples than more recent surveys in the same countries. Trends that are omitted include:
  - Bolivia prior to 2013
  - Senegal prior to 2013
  - Venezuela prior to 2013
  - Brazil prior to 2010
  - Nigeria prior to 2010
  - South Africa in 2007
  - Indonesia prior to 2005
  - Egypt in Spring 2002

- Not all questions included in the Spring 2013 survey are presented in this topline. Omitted questions have either been previously released or will be released in future reports.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number 1 – Homosexuality should be accepted by society</th>
<th>Number 2 – Homosexuality should not be accepted by society</th>
<th>DK/Refused</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>United States</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Canada</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Britain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>France</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Germany</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Italy</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q27 And which one of these comes closer to your opinion, number 1 or number 2? Number 1 – Homosexuality should be accepted by society OR Number 2 – Homosexuality should not be accepted by society

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number 1 – Homosexuality should be accepted by society</th>
<th>Number 2 – Homosexuality should not be accepted by society</th>
<th>DK/Refused</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Greece</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Czech Republic</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turkey</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Egypt</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jordan</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Summer, 2002</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palest. ter.</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tunisia</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2007</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Spring, 2013</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring, 2011</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q27 And which one of these comes closer to your opinion, number 1 or number 2? Number 1 – Homosexuality should be accepted by society OR Number 2 – Homosexuality should not be accepted by society

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolivia</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chile</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>El Salvador</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Venezuela</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uganda</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Spring 2011, 2007 and Summer 2002, both answer choices began with "Homosexuality is a way of life that..."
Regional Categorization

For analysis, we grouped the 39 countries surveyed into six regions – North America, Europe, Middle East, Asia/Pacific, Latin America and Africa.

**North America** includes:
- Canada
- United States

**Europe** includes countries from both Western and Eastern Europe:
- Britain
- France
- Germany
- Italy
- Spain
- Greece
- Poland
- Czech Republic
- Russia

**Middle East** includes countries and territories from the Middle East and North Africa, as well as Turkey:
- Turkey
- Egypt
- Jordan
- Lebanon
- Palestinian territories
- Tunisia
- Israel

**Asia/Pacific** includes countries from Asia and the Pacific region:
- Australia
- China
- Indonesia
- Japan
- Malaysia
- Pakistan
- Philippines
- South Korea
Latin America includes countries from North, Central and South America:
- Argentina
- Bolivia
- Brazil
- Chile
- El Salvador
- Mexico
- Venezuela

Africa includes countries from sub-Saharan Africa:
- Ghana
- Kenya
- Nigeria
- Senegal
- South Africa
- Uganda